SINGLE BENCH

(3)

C.S.P.

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 4354/2005

PETITIONER :- Ram Awtar S/o Jugalal by caste Nai, aged 36 years, Sarpanch of Gram Panchayat Pali, Tah. Takhatpur, District Bilaspur (C.G.).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :-

1) Darashram S/o Tihari, by caste Gond, aged 50 years, R/o. Pali, Takhatpur, District Bilaspur (C.G.).

2) Manoj Kumar S/o Anand Madhav By caste Bramhan, aged 40 years.

3) Ashok Kumar S/o Balram by caste Bramhan, aged about 50 years.

4) Kuldeep S/o Ramlochan by caste, Bramhan, aged about 30 years.

5) Delaiya Bai W/o Laharu, by caste Gond, aged about 50 years.

6) Dwarika S/o Dhanaram by caste Nai, aged 46 years.

7) PAwan Das S/o Itwari, by caste Panika aged 47 years.

8) Veer Singh S/o Balwant by caste- Gond, aged about 30 years.

9) Mahesh Kumar S/o Balwant by caste Gond, aged about 28 years.

10) Rajkumar S/o Phool Singh, by caste Kenwat, aged about 32 years.

11) Ram Singh S/o Nakchhed, by caste Gond, aged about 47 years.

12) Shobhit Singh S/o Shiv Singh by caste Gond, aged about 36 years.

P.R. No. 4430/05 Presented by Shri Pravin Tulsyan dated 21/9/05

02/9/05

(4)

13) Sukhiram S/o Sepuram, by caste Kenwat, aged about 45 years.

All are R/o village Pali, Tah. Takhatpur, District Bilaspur (C.G.).

14) Assistant Returning Officer/ Tahsildar Takhatpur, Panchayat Election No. 95, village Pali, Tahsil Takhatpur, District - Bilaspur (C.G.).

15) The Sub Divisional Officer (Rev.) Kota, District Bilaspur (C.G.).

16) The Collector Bilaspur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

47

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... W.P. 4354/ ..... **सन् 2005**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	14-9-2005 Mr. Malay Kumar Bhaduri counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Parag Kotecha, Panel Lawyer for the State of Chhattisgarh. Heard both the counsel. The petitioner has filed this writ petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, aggrieved by the order dated 4-7-2005 passed by the Specified Officer {Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue)}, Kota, District: Bilaspur, in Revenue Case No.30/A-89(9)/2004-2005 Election Petition, whereby learned Specified Officer has rejected the preliminary objection raised by the petitioner herein on the ground that on account of non-compliance of Rule 3 (2) of the Chhattisgarh Panchayats (Election Petitions, Corrupt Practices and Disqualification for Membership) Rules, 1995, the petition is not maintainable. I have perused the order. The petitioner herein is entitled to raise this objection in his reply to the main election petition and learned Specified Officer shall decide this point along with the main petition at the time of disposal of the election petition. With this observation, the writ petition is disposed of. In view of this order, M.(W.)P.No.3540/2005 & I.A.No.7885/2005 stand disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	